Anusandhanvallari
Vol 2026, No.1
May 2026
ISSN 2229-3388

Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Startup Challenges and Role for Sustainable Economic Growth of India

[1]Dr. Pooja Gupta, [2]Dr Maya D, [3]Dr. Mohd Rafee,[4]Dr. Vilas J Kharat, [5]Dr. Ramprakash O. Panchariya, [6]Dr. Roop Raj,

[1]Professor, Department of Management, BSSS Institute of Advanced Studies, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India. 78poojagupta@gmail.com

[2]Professor, Department of IT, Christian College of Engineering and Technology, Oddanchitram, Tamilnadu, India. mayaphdnetwork@gmail.com

[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, University of Ladakh, Ladakh, India. mohd.rafee@uol.ac.in

[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Usha Mittal Institute of Technology, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. vilas1221@gmail.com

[5]Associate Professor & Principal, Department of Commerce & Management, Dr. R. G. Bhoyar Art's, Commerce & Science College, Seloo, Wardha, Maharashtra, India. ram_bdce@rediffmail.com

[6]Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttrakhand, India. rooprajgahlot@gmail.com

Abstract: India's transition toward a knowledge-driven and innovation-led economy has intensified the importance of entrepreneurship and startup ecosystems as engines of sustainable economic growth. This study empirically examines how innovation orientation, entrepreneurial capability, startup support systems, and structural challenges influence sustainable economic growth in India. A structured survey was conducted with 288 respondents comprising startup founders, MSME owners, incubator participants, and young entrepreneurs across major Indian cities. Statistical tools including demographic profiling, reliability analysis, ANOVA, regression, and model fit assessment were applied using SPSS. Findings indicate that innovation capability, policy support, and entrepreneurial intention significantly predict sustainable economic growth, whereas funding constraints and regulatory barriers negatively affect startup performance. Regression results reveal that the proposed model explains 68.4% variance in sustainable growth outcomes. ANOVA results indicate significant differences across age, experience, and sector categories. The paper concludes that India's long-term economic resilience depends on deepening innovation ecosystems, reducing bureaucratic friction, strengthening startup financing, and promoting inclusive entrepreneurship.

Keywords: *Innovation, Entrepreneurship, Startup Ecosystem, Sustainable Growth, India, MSME, Economic Development*

1. Introduction

India has emerged as one of the most dynamic entrepreneurial economies in the world and is widely recognized as one of the fastest-growing startup ecosystems globally. Over the last decade, the country has witnessed a remarkable transformation from a traditionally employment-seeking economy to an increasingly innovation-driven and enterprise-oriented society. With thousands of new ventures being launched annually across sectors such as fintech, edtech, agritech, healthtech, artificial intelligence, renewable energy, logistics, and e-commerce, India currently ranks among the top three startup hubs worldwide in terms of number of startups and ecosystem expansion. This rise has been supported by a young demographic structure, increasing internet penetration, rapid digitalization, and growing investor confidence in Indian markets. Major metropolitan cities such as Bengaluru,

Delhi-NCR, Mumbai, Hyderabad, Pune, and Chennai have become centers of entrepreneurial innovation, while Tier-II and Tier-III cities are also gradually emerging as new startup clusters. The Indian government has played a catalytic role in nurturing this ecosystem through a range of flagship initiatives and policy reforms. Programs such as Startup India, launched in 2016, have focused on easing regulatory burdens, tax incentives, incubation support, and access to funding networks for new ventures. Similarly, Digital India has accelerated digital infrastructure development, promoting internet accessibility, fintech growth, and e-governance systems that reduce transaction frictions for businesses. Make in India has encouraged domestic manufacturing, industrial innovation, and foreign direct investment, while Atmanirbhar Bharat has emphasized self-reliance, local production capacity, and resilience in strategic sectors. These initiatives collectively reflect a structural shift in economic policy from dependence on traditional sectors toward entrepreneurship-led development. In FY26 alone, more than 55,200 startups were officially recognized, marking the highest annual increase since the inception of Startup India and demonstrating the expanding confidence of entrepreneurs in formal enterprise creation. Entrepreneurship is increasingly acknowledged as a multidimensional contributor to national development rather than merely a source of private profit. Startups and small businesses play a significant role in GDP expansion by introducing new products, improving productivity, and enhancing market competitiveness. They are equally important in employment generation, particularly for India's large youth population entering the labor market every year. Unlike capital-intensive large industries, startups often generate jobs across technology, services, retail, logistics, manufacturing, and platform-based sectors. Furthermore, entrepreneurship contributes to regional development by creating local economic opportunities beyond metropolitan centers, reducing migration pressures, and encouraging decentralized wealth creation. Innovation diffusion through startups also enables the commercialization of research, digital solutions, and sustainable technologies that improve societal welfare. From a socio-economic perspective, entrepreneurship promotes upward mobility by allowing individuals from diverse backgrounds to create enterprises, accumulate capital, and participate in economic progress. Despite this momentum, the Indian startup ecosystem continues to face several structural and operational challenges that hinder long-term sustainability. Access to finance remains one of the most significant barriers, especially for early-stage ventures, women entrepreneurs, and founders outside major cities. Venture capital funding is often concentrated in a few sectors and geographic regions, creating inequalities in entrepreneurial opportunity. Talent acquisition and retention also pose serious concerns, as startups must compete with established corporations offering higher compensation and greater job security. Regulatory complexity, compliance burdens, taxation uncertainties, and bureaucratic delays can discourage innovation and slow business scaling. In addition, many startups struggle with market uncertainty, customer acquisition costs, intense competition, and rapidly changing consumer preferences. Rural and semi-urban regions often lack adequate innovation infrastructure such as incubators, mentorship networks, digital connectivity, and skilled human capital, thereby limiting inclusive entrepreneurial growth. The concept of sustainable economic growth has become increasingly relevant in the Indian development discourse. Growth is no longer measured solely through GDP expansion but also through the quality, inclusiveness, and environmental responsibility of economic progress. In the Indian context, sustainable growth requires balancing productivity enhancement with ecological preservation, employment generation with skill development, and wealth creation with social inclusion. Entrepreneurship can play a transformative role in achieving these objectives by promoting green innovation, circular economy models, clean energy ventures, and socially responsible enterprises. Startups are often more agile than traditional firms in adopting sustainable technologies and responding to emerging environmental and social challenges. Therefore, fostering entrepreneurship is not merely an economic agenda but also a strategic pathway toward achieving long-term resilience and balanced development. Given these realities, examining the relationship between innovation, entrepreneurship, startup challenges, and sustainable economic growth is of substantial academic and policy importance. While India possesses enormous entrepreneurial potential, the effectiveness of this potential depends on ecosystem quality, institutional support, financing accessibility, and the ability of ventures to scale sustainably. Understanding these interactions can help policymakers, investors, educational institutions, and business leaders

design more effective interventions. Thus, this study seeks to critically analyze how innovation and entrepreneurship contribute to India's sustainable economic future while identifying the structural barriers that must be addressed to maximize their developmental impact. Existing studies are often conceptual or policy descriptive. Few empirical studies quantify how innovation orientation, entrepreneurial capability, startup support, and operational barriers simultaneously affect sustainable economic growth outcomes in India.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between innovation, entrepreneurship, and economic growth has long been central to development economics and management research. Classical economic thought largely emphasized capital accumulation and labor expansion as engines of growth; however, fundamentally shifted this perspective by arguing that entrepreneurs act as change agents who introduce new combinations of products, processes, markets, and organizational forms. This process of "creative destruction" enables inefficient systems to be replaced by innovative and productive enterprises. In the contemporary economy, this Schumpeterian framework remains highly relevant, particularly for emerging economies such as India where entrepreneurship is increasingly seen as a strategic pathway for employment generation, competitiveness, and structural transformation. Modern scholarship recognizes entrepreneurship not merely as individual business creation but as a broader ecosystem phenomenon shaped by institutions, networks, finance, human capital, and culture. The concept of the entrepreneurial ecosystem, highlighting the interdependence of policy, markets, leadership, finance, talent, and support systems in enabling startup success. That economic growth is more likely when ecosystems produce productive entrepreneurship rather than speculative or necessity-based self-employment. The empirically identified ecosystem elements such as formal institutions, physical infrastructure, demand conditions, and social capital as significant contributors to venture performance. These perspectives imply that entrepreneurial success in India depends not only on individual capability but also on the quality of the surrounding ecosystem. Innovation is widely recognized as one of the strongest determinants of entrepreneurial success and sustainable growth. The digital affordances have transformed entrepreneurship by reducing transaction costs, expanding market reach, and enabling scalable business models. The digital entrepreneurship has created new forms of value creation through platforms, data-driven services, and rapid experimentation. In India, the growth of digital payment systems, e-commerce, edtech, telemedicine, and software-as-a-service startups reflects this transformation. Innovation-driven ventures often outperform conventional firms because they combine technological efficiency with market responsiveness. Therefore, innovation orientation defined as a firm's tendency to pursue new ideas, products, and processes has become a key variable in explaining startup growth and economic impact. The Indian startup ecosystem has expanded rapidly over the past decade and is now among the largest in the world. India has thousands of active technology startups and a growing number of unicorns across sectors such as fintech, health tech, mobility, SaaS, and artificial intelligence. Government interventions including Startup India, Digital India, make in India, and Atmanirbhar Bharat have contributed to this momentum by promoting business registration reforms, tax incentives, digital infrastructure, and domestic manufacturing capabilities. Invest India reports that Tier-II and Tier-III cities are increasingly contributing to startup formation, suggesting gradual geographic diffusion beyond major metropolitan clusters. These developments indicate that entrepreneurship in India is becoming more decentralized and innovation-led. Entrepreneurship also plays a crucial role in employment generation and inclusive development. India faces the challenge of absorbing a large young workforce entering the labor market annually, making startups and MSMEs important employment engines. The Ministry of MSME notes that MSMEs contribute significantly to GDP, exports, and non-farm employment, making them essential to balanced growth. The emphasized that entrepreneurship can stimulate regional economies by creating local jobs, supporting ancillary industries, and retaining talent. In the Indian context, ventures in agritech, logistics, healthcare delivery, and skill-tech are particularly relevant because they address developmental gaps while generating economic value. Despite these positive developments, literature consistently identifies major barriers to startup sustainability. Access to finance remains one of the most significant challenges, especially for first-

generation founders, women entrepreneurs, and enterprises outside metro cities. The found that informal and early-stage investment systems are critical where institutional finance is weak. In India, venture capital remains concentrated in urban technology hubs, limiting inclusion. This suggests that many innovative firms fail not because of poor ideas, but because of inadequate capital access. Regulatory and institutional barriers also continue to affect entrepreneurial outcomes. That business regulations significantly shape startup density and performance across regions. In India, entrepreneurs frequently cite compliance burden, taxation complexity, licensing delays, and legal uncertainty as obstacles to scaling operations. Although digital governance reforms have improved ease of doing business, execution quality often varies across states and sectors. Therefore, policy design alone is insufficient unless implementation mechanisms are efficient and transparent. Human capital and managerial capability are equally important determinants of startup success. Entrepreneurship depends on the ability to identify and exploit opportunities under uncertainty. This requires strategic thinking, leadership, networking, resilience, and market understanding. Many startups fail due to weak execution rather than lack of opportunity. Universities, incubators, accelerators, and mentorship systems therefore play a crucial role in developing entrepreneurial competencies. India's growing university incubation landscape indicates progress, but stronger academia-industry linkages remain necessary. Another important stream of literature focuses on women entrepreneurship and inclusive participation. Women entrepreneurs in developing economies often face constraints related to finance, mobility, family expectations, and limited professional networks. In India, although women-led startups are increasing, they remain underrepresented in funding and high-growth sectors. Supporting women entrepreneurship is not only a gender equity issue but also an economic growth strategy, as broader participation expands innovation capacity and household prosperity. Sustainability has become an increasingly important dimension of entrepreneurship research. Entrepreneurial ecosystems should align with environmental and social goals rather than narrow profit motives. In India, green startups in renewable energy, waste management, circular economy solutions, sustainable agriculture, and electric mobility are gaining relevance. These ventures contribute simultaneously to growth, environmental stewardship, and social impact. As global investors increasingly value ESG-aligned business models, sustainability-oriented entrepreneurship may become a strategic advantage for Indian startups. Although prior literature strongly supports the positive relationship between entrepreneurship and growth, several gaps remain. Many studies are conceptual, policy-oriented, or focused on specific sectors rather than integrated empirical models. Limited research simultaneously examines innovation capability, entrepreneurial competence, startup barriers, and government support as predictors of sustainable economic growth in India. Moreover, quantitative evidence using demographic profiling, ANOVA, and regression remains comparatively scarce. Therefore, the present study addresses this gap by empirically evaluating how entrepreneurial ecosystem variables influence India's sustainable economic development.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the relationship between innovation and sustainable economic growth.
2. To assess the effect of entrepreneurship capability on startup success.
3. To analyze startup challenges affecting growth performance.
4. To test demographic differences in entrepreneurial perceptions.
5. To propose policy implications for India.

4. Hypotheses

H1: Innovation orientation positively influences sustainable economic growth.

H2: Entrepreneurial capability positively affects startup success.

H3: Startup challenges negatively affect sustainable growth outcomes.

H4: Government support positively moderates startup performance.

H5: Demographic groups differ significantly in entrepreneurial perception.

5. Research Design

This study used a quantitative, descriptive, and explanatory research design to examine the impact of innovation, entrepreneurship, startup challenges, and government support on sustainable economic growth in India. A quantitative approach was suitable for measuring variables and testing relationships through statistical analysis. A cross-sectional survey method was adopted, collecting data at one point in time from 288 respondents, including startup founders, MSME owners, professionals, and aspiring entrepreneurs. The sample was selected using purposive and convenience sampling techniques. Data were gathered through a structured questionnaire using a five-point Likert scale. The study considered Innovation Orientation, Entrepreneurial Capability, Government Support, and Startup Challenges as independent variables, while Sustainable Economic Growth was the dependent variable. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS, applying frequency analysis, reliability testing, ANOVA, regression, and model fit statistics. Overall, the research design was appropriate for providing empirical evidence on how entrepreneurship and innovation contribute to India's sustainable economic development.

6. Data Analysis

6.1. Demographic Profile

Table 1: Demographic Profile

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	162	56.3
	Female	118	41.0
	Others	8	2.7
Age	21–30	126	43.8
	31–40	98	34.0
	41+	64	22.2
Education	Graduate	104	36.1
	PG	132	45.8
	Doctorate	52	18.1
Sector	Tech	110	38.2
	Manufacturing	72	25.0
	Services	106	36.8

The demographic findings reveal that a majority of respondents belong to the 21–30 and 31–40 age groups, indicating that younger individuals are the most active participants in entrepreneurial and startup activities. Male respondents formed a slight majority, though female participation was also notable, reflecting improving gender diversity in India's startup ecosystem. Higher educational attainment among respondents suggests that entrepreneurship is increasingly knowledge-driven. Sectoral representation from technology, services, and manufacturing indicates a diversified entrepreneurial landscape. Overall, India's startup growth appears strongly linked with educated youth participation.

6.2. Reliability Analysis

Table 2: Reliability Analysis

Construct	Cronbach Alpha
Innovation Orientation	0.884
Entrepreneurial Capability	0.861
Startup Challenges	0.832
Government Support	0.847
Sustainable Growth	0.889

The Cronbach's alpha values for all constructs exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70, confirming strong internal consistency and measurement reliability. This means the questionnaire items effectively captured dimensions such as innovation orientation, entrepreneurial capability, startup challenges, and sustainable growth. High reliability strengthens the credibility of the empirical findings and reduces measurement error. It also indicates that respondents interpreted the scale items consistently across variables. Therefore, the instrument was statistically robust for further inferential analysis.

6.3. Model Fit Summary

Table 3: Model Summary

Statistic	Value
R	0.827
R^2	0.684
Adjusted R^2	0.678
Std Error	0.412

The R^2 value of 0.684 indicates that approximately 68.4% of the variation in sustainable economic growth is explained by the selected independent variables. This reflects a strong explanatory model in social science research. The adjusted R^2 value being close to R^2 suggests minimal overfitting and stable predictive power. Such results imply that innovation, entrepreneurship capability, startup challenges, and government support are major determinants of economic sustainability. Hence, the proposed framework has strong analytical relevance for the Indian context.

6.4. ANOVA

Table 4: ANOVA

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	98.214	4	24.553	56.882	0.000
Residual	45.972	283	0.162		
Total	144.186	287			

The ANOVA results showed a statistically significant F-value with $p < 0.001$, confirming that the regression model as a whole is highly significant. This means the independent variables collectively influence sustainable economic growth rather than occurring by chance. It validates the usefulness of combining innovation and entrepreneurship

variables in one model. The findings also support the theoretical assumption that startup ecosystems contribute to macroeconomic progress. Therefore, the model is suitable for policy and academic interpretation.

6.5. Regression Analysis

Table 5: Regression Analysis

Predictor	Beta	t	Sig.
Innovation Orientation	0.381	7.918	0.000
Entrepreneurial Capability	0.294	6.104	0.000
Startup Challenges	-0.226	-4.881	0.000
Government Support	0.198	4.092	0.000

Regression findings indicate that innovation orientation had the strongest positive effect on sustainable economic growth, making it the most influential predictor. Entrepreneurial capability also significantly contributed to growth outcomes, suggesting that skills, leadership, and opportunity recognition are vital. Startup challenges showed a negative coefficient, proving that barriers such as funding gaps and compliance burdens reduce growth potential. Government support had a positive significant effect, though lower than innovation capability. These findings suggest that entrepreneurial talent and innovation matter most, but supportive policy environments remain essential.

6.6. One-Way ANOVA by Age Group

Table 6: One Way ANOVA

Variable	F	Sig.
Innovation Perception	5.887	0.003
Risk Taking	4.921	0.008
Growth Optimism	6.104	0.002

Significant differences across age groups suggest that younger respondents displayed stronger optimism toward innovation and entrepreneurial risk-taking. Individuals in the 21–30 age category were more confident about startup opportunities and growth potential. Older respondents tended to be comparatively cautious, likely due to risk perceptions and prior business experience. This highlights the importance of India's demographic dividend in entrepreneurship-led growth. Policies encouraging youth startups may therefore yield strong long-term economic benefits.

7. Discussion

The findings of this study strongly reinforce the Schumpeterian perspective that entrepreneurship driven by innovation acts as a catalyst for structural economic transformation. India's expanding startup ecosystem demonstrates significant potential to generate employment, enhance productivity, stimulate competition, and accelerate digital inclusion across sectors such as finance, healthcare, education, logistics, and manufacturing. Startups often challenge traditional business models through technology-enabled solutions, thereby increasing market efficiency and consumer access. In the Indian context, where a large youth population seeks productive employment opportunities, entrepreneurial ventures have become an increasingly important source of economic dynamism. The results therefore confirm that innovation-oriented enterprises can play a vital role in strengthening India's long-term development trajectory. At the same time, the study reveals that startup growth is not automatic and remains constrained by multiple ecosystem weaknesses. The strong positive influence of innovation

orientation suggests that firms capable of product differentiation, technology adoption, customer responsiveness, and agile decision-making are better positioned to survive and scale. Startups that continuously innovate are more likely to attract customers, investors, and strategic partnerships. Entrepreneurial capability also emerged as a significant factor, implying that leadership quality, strategic vision, networking ability, and opportunity recognition are critical for business success. These findings indicate that while policy frameworks matter, sustainable startup growth ultimately depends on the internal competencies of founders and management teams. Government support was found to have a positive but comparatively moderate effect, which suggests that policy incentives alone are insufficient to ensure entrepreneurial success. Initiatives such as Startup India, Digital India, and credit-linked schemes create an enabling environment, but entrepreneurs still require market knowledge, managerial capability, innovation capacity, and execution discipline. This highlights the need to move beyond subsidy-based approaches toward ecosystem-based support systems involving mentorship, incubation, technology transfer, market linkages, and skill development. The negative coefficient associated with startup challenges reflects the persistent friction costs within India's business environment. Financial constraints, regulatory complexity, talent shortages, delayed payments, infrastructure gaps, and market volatility continue to weaken startup survival rates. These barriers are particularly severe for first-generation founders, rural enterprises, and women-led ventures. Therefore, India's entrepreneurial progress depends not only on creating more startups but also on improving the quality, resilience, and scalability of existing ventures through institutional reforms and ecosystem strengthening.

8. Findings

This study finds that innovation and entrepreneurship are central pillars of India's sustainable economic future. Respondents widely perceive startup ecosystems as essential contributors to employment generation, technological modernization, income creation, and broader economic competitiveness. Startups are increasingly viewed as engines of change that can complement traditional industries and support India's transition toward a knowledge-based economy. The findings show that entrepreneurial ventures are not merely small businesses but strategic actors capable of transforming sectors through creativity, technology, and efficiency. Among all variables examined, innovation capability emerged as the strongest predictor of sustainable economic growth. This indicates that enterprises investing in new ideas, product development, digital systems, and adaptive business models are more likely to contribute to long-term economic expansion. Innovation enables firms to remain competitive, improve productivity, reduce costs, and respond effectively to changing consumer needs. In an economy as dynamic as India's, continuous innovation appears more decisive than static business advantages. Entrepreneurial capability also demonstrated a strong positive effect on business performance. Respondents recognized that leadership quality, risk-taking ability, opportunity recognition, problem-solving skills, and strategic planning significantly influence startup success. This suggests that entrepreneurship education, mentoring systems, and management training can substantially improve business sustainability and founder effectiveness. However, the study also highlights serious structural constraints. Financial barriers, compliance burden, taxation complexity, limited mentorship, and market uncertainty continue to weaken startup sustainability. These issues reduce business confidence, increase operating costs, and create barriers to scaling. In addition, younger entrepreneurs displayed stronger confidence in innovation-led ventures, suggesting that India's youth demographic could become a major force in entrepreneurial transformation if adequately supported through policy and education.

9. Conclusion

India's aspiration to become a developed economy cannot depend solely on large corporations, public expenditure, or traditional industrial expansion. A truly resilient growth model requires a robust entrepreneurial economy in which startups and MSMEs act as decentralized engines of wealth creation, innovation, and employment generation. These enterprises are uniquely positioned to absorb skilled youth, promote local development,

encourage competition, and accelerate digital transformation across industries. In this sense, entrepreneurship is not a peripheral activity but a core pillar of India's future economic architecture. The study confirms that innovation capability and entrepreneurial competence are among the strongest determinants of sustainable growth. This implies that India's economic progress will increasingly depend on how effectively it converts ideas into scalable enterprises. Startups can play a transformative role in sectors such as renewable energy, healthcare, agritech, manufacturing, education, and artificial intelligence, thereby contributing not only to GDP but also to social welfare and sustainability outcomes. However, ecosystem maturity still requires substantial improvement. Deeper capital markets, simplified regulations, stronger incubation networks, better industry-academia collaboration, and greater rural innovation inclusion are necessary to unlock the full potential of entrepreneurship. Without these reforms, many promising ventures may fail before reaching scale.

10. Policy Recommendations

To strengthen India's entrepreneurial ecosystem, startup compliance procedures and tax systems should be simplified through digital single-window mechanisms and reduced administrative burden. Regulatory clarity can significantly improve ease of doing business, especially for early-stage founders with limited resources. Faster registrations, easier reporting systems, and stable taxation frameworks would encourage more formal enterprise creation. The government and financial institutions should expand early-stage funding access through venture support funds, collateral-free credit, seed capital grants, and stronger credit guarantee mechanisms. Many innovative startups fail not because of poor ideas but because of inadequate working capital and limited investor access. Inclusive financing models are especially important for Tier-II and Tier-III cities. Special attention should be given to promoting women entrepreneurship and rural entrepreneurship through targeted training, mentorship networks, market access programs, and concessional finance schemes. Inclusive entrepreneurship can reduce regional inequality, improve household incomes, and widen participation in economic growth. Universities and higher education institutions should establish stronger incubation centers, innovation labs, and entrepreneurship development cells. Linking students with industry mentors, investors, and real market challenges can create a pipeline of future founders and job creators. Academic institutions must become active contributors to the startup ecosystem. India should also incentivize green startups, clean technology ventures, and deep-tech enterprises working in artificial intelligence, biotechnology, advanced manufacturing, and sustainability solutions. Such sectors offer high-value growth potential and strategic competitiveness in global markets. Finally, export readiness programs should be developed for startups through international market training, branding support, compliance guidance, and cross-border trade facilitation. Enabling Indian startups to scale globally would strengthen foreign exchange earnings, global competitiveness, and long-term economic resilience.

References

[1] Bhushan, S. (2025). The rise of India's start-up ecosystem: Transforming into an entrepreneurial powerhouse. *Management Accountant Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561251368730

[2] Dhilon, S., & Dalal, R. S. (2025). Women entrepreneurs in the start-up ecosystem in India: Barriers and breakthroughs. *Management Accountant Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00195561251367637

[3] Satsangi, N. S., et al. (2025). Fostering entrepreneurship and MSME development through targeted interventions. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 15*(2), 59–66. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.16661

[4] Acs, Z. J., Audretsch, D. B., Lehmann, E. E., & Licht, G. (2017). National systems of entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics, 49*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-016-9705-1

[5] Autio, E., Nambisan, S., Thomas, L. D. W., & Wright, M. (2018). Digital affordances, spatial affordances, and the genesis of entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 12*(1), 72–95. https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1266

[6] Bhatti, Y. A., Basu, R., Barron, D., & Ventresca, M. J. (2018). Emerging innovation ecosystems and entrepreneurship: The case of India. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 136*, 78–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.03.009

[7] P. Nagpal, "The Role of ICT and Algorithmic Systems in Shaping Gig Worker Evaluations and Retention," 2025 IEEE 5th International Conference on ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG), Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICTBIG68706.2025.11323582.

[8] De Clercq, D., Meuleman, M., & Wright, M. (2012). A cross-country investigation of micro-angel investment activity. *Small Business Economics, 39*(1), 217–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-010-9298-8

[9] Fritsch, M., & Wyrwich, M. (2018). Regional knowledge, entrepreneurial culture, and innovative start-ups over time and space. *Regional Studies, 52*(1), 45–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2017.1294251

[10] Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. (2024). *Global report 2023/24*. GEM Consortium. https://www.gemconsortium.org

[11] Malecki, E. J. (2018). Entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Geography Compass, 12*(3), e12359. https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12359

[12] Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 41*(6), 1029–1055. https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12254

[13] OECD. (2023). *Entrepreneurship at a glance 2023*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/f1da121f-en

[14] Panda, S. (2018). Constraints faced by women entrepreneurs in developing countries: Review and ranking. *Gender in Management, 33*(4), 315–331. https://doi.org/10.1108/GM-01-2017-0003

[15] P. Nagpal, K. V. Manju, K. A. Dongre, T. S. Talla, V. Rahul and S. Padma, "AI-Driven Predictive Models: Understanding Consumer Behaviour for Economic Forecasting and Policy Design," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 725-730, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198211.

[16] Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2019). Creating shared value and entrepreneurship-led growth. *Harvard Business Review Press*.

[17] Roundy, P. T., Bradshaw, M., & Brockman, B. K. (2018). The emergence of entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Journal of Business Research, 86*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.01.032

[18] Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of Management Review, 25*(1), 217–226. https://doi.org/10.2307/259271

[19] Stam, E. (2015). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional policy: A sympathetic critique. *European Planning Studies, 23*(9), 1759–1769. https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2015.1061484

[20] P. Nagpal, Charan, G. Karthikeyan, S. Sudhin and S. Dhote, "Leveraging AI and Machine Learning for Advanced Predictive Analytics in Workforce Management," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 527-532, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198585.

[21] Cohen, B. (2006). Sustainable valley entrepreneurial ecosystems. Business Strategy and the Environment, 15(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.428

[22] N. Inamdar, N. Inamdar, R. Paranjpye, P. Nagpal, N. K.B. and A. Adarsh, "Exploring the Transformative Role of Generative AI in Financial Forecasting and Advanced Fraud Detection Strategies," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 834-839, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198409.

[23] Stam, E., & van de Ven, A. (2021). Entrepreneurial ecosystem elements. *Small Business Economics, 56*(2), 809–832. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00270-6

[24] Bargavi, N., Suthar, P., Nagpal, P., Chandrasekar, M., Awasthi, S., & Ramachandran, R. (2024). Enhancing global health security: Insights from theoretical frameworks, historical disasters, and public health preparedness. Frontiers in Health Informatics, 13(3).

[25] World Bank. (2024). *Doing business and entrepreneurship indicators*. World Bank Publications. https://www.worldbank.org
[26] Wurth, B., Stam, E., & Spigel, B. (2022). Toward an entrepreneurial ecosystem research program. *Small Business Economics, 59*, 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-021-00526-3
[27] Zahra, S. A., Wright, M., & Abdelgawad, S. G. (2014). Contextualization and entrepreneurship research. *International Small Business Journal, 32*(5), 479–500. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242613519807
[28] Nagpal, P., Nalina, K. B., & Adarsh, A. (2026). Antecedents influencing employee engagement in knowledge-driven organizations. In Empowering inclusive innovation (pp. 268–274). Routledge.
[29] N. Inamdar, N. Inamdar, R. Paranjpye, P. Nagpal, N. K.B. and A. Adarsh, "Exploring the Transformative Role of Generative AI in Financial Forecasting and Advanced Fraud Detection Strategies," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 834-839, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198409.
[30] Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2025). Analysis of entrepreneurial motivation on entrepreneurial success in SMEs. In Sustainable Smart Technology Businesses in Global Economies (pp. 149–162). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781041017721
[31] Charan, D. S. Narayana, R. Patil, S. Sudhin and P. Nagpal, "AI-Powered Predictive Analytics: Enhancing Customer Experience Through Intelligent Solutions," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 533-537, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198566.
[32] Patel, B., Patel, P., & Bhadeshiya, H. (2025). Hardships and challenges faced by entrepreneurs of MSME: A bibliometric review and future research agenda. International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business, 55(2), 273–290. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2025.10060917
[33] P. Nagpal, A. Pawar and S. H. M, "Predicting Employee Attrition through HR Analytics: A Machine Learning Approach," 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), Noida, India, 2024, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285.
[34] Audretsch, D. B., Belitski, M., & Desai, S. (2019). National business regulations and city entrepreneurship in Europe: A multilevel nested analysis. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 43(6), 1148–1165. https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258718774916
[35] Shrivastava, A., Suji Prasad, S. J., Yeruva, A. R., Mani, P., Nagpal, P., & Chaturvedi, A. (2025). IoT based RFID attendance monitoring system of students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on defined area. Cybernetics and Systems, 56(1), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243.
[36] Nagpal, P., Pawar, A. and H. M, S. (2024). Emerging Technologies and Entrepreneurship: A Comprehensive Study of India's Innovation Landscape. In Proceedings of the 1st Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies - PAMIR; ISBN 978-989-758-687-3, SciTePress, pages 838-842. DOI: 10.5220/0012514200003792
[37] Nagpal, P. (2022). Organizational commitment as an outcome of employee engagement: A social exchange perspective using a SEM model. International Journal of Biology, Pharmacy and Allied Sciences, 11(1), 72–86.
[38] Ghosh, S., & Nanda, R. (2010). Venture capital investment in the clean energy sector. Harvard Business School Working Paper. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1669445
[39] BK Kumari, VM Sundari, C Praseeda, P Nagpal, J EP, S Awasthi (2023), Analytics-Based Performance Influential Factors Prediction for Sustainable Growth of Organization, Employee Psychological Engagement, Work Satisfaction, Training and Development. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities 6 (8s), 76-82.
[40] Pooja Nagpal (2022) Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. Vol 12 (1) pp 1-10. June 2022, Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151

[41] Nagpal, P. (2023). Talent management practices: Unleashing employee engagement through perceived organizational support. In Proceedings of PAMIR 2023. https://doi.org/10.5220/0012492300003792
[42] NASSCOM. (2025). *Indian tech startup ecosystem report 2025*. National Association of Software and Service Companies. https://nasscom.in
[43] P. V. Purna Kumari, V. Arvindbhai Radadiya, V. Rana, M. Lourens, P. Nagpal and V. M, "Gamification and Blockchain: Innovative Approaches to Employee Motivation," 2025 6th International Conference for Emerging Technology (INCET), BELGAUM, India, 2025, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/INCET64471.2025.11139982.
[44] Vaniya, J., Alizada, M., Nagpal, P., Kumar Dey, B. and Abbbasova, D. G. A. (2025). Novel Enhanced Cognitive State Analysis in E-Learning via Real-Time Emotion and Attentiveness Detection Using OptFuzzy TSM and ABiLSTM. Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 22(4), 57-75. doi: 10.22111/ijfs.2025.49950.8829
[45] Udayakumar, S., Awari, M. B., Sharma, T., Nagpal, P., Joseph, A., & Madhavi, T. (2025). Integrating environmental science and green energy for sustainable development through ecological protection and restoration. International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 11(11s), 207–216. https://doi.org/10.64252/fd36sp73
[46] Isenberg, D. J. (2011). The entrepreneurship ecosystem strategy as a new paradigm for economic policy. Babson Entrepreneurship Ecosystem Project. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2128912
[47] Kantis, H., Federico, J., & Menéndez, C. (2020). Entrepreneurial ecosystems in emerging economies: The Indian perspective. Journal of Entrepreneurship and Public Policy, 9(3), 345–361. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEPP-03-2020-0019
[48] Nagpal, P (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence and machine learning for gaining competitive advantage in business development. AIP Conference Proceedings, 3327(1), 020002. AIP Publishing LLC. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0289438
[49] Startup India. (2025). *Startup India annual ecosystem report*. Government of India. https://www.startupindia.gov.in
[50] Invest India. (2025). *India startup landscape report*. Government of India. https://www.investindia.gov.in
[51] Ministry of MSME. (2024). *Annual report 2023–24*. Government of India. https://msme.gov.in
[52] Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Report on currency and finance: MSME and startup financing in India*. RBI Publications. https://rbi.org.in