Anusandhanvallari
Vol 2023, No.1
August 2023
ISSN 2229-3388

The Future of Space Law: Codification, Compliance, and Global Governance Challenges

1st P Sowjanya, 2ndDr.Pinninti Jogi Naidu, 3rdDr Pamarthi Satyanarayana,

[1]Research Scholar, Dept of Law, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam (Women's University), Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

[2]Associate Professor, Damodaram Sanjivayya National Law University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

[3]Assistant Professor, School of Law, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi, Chennai.

Abstract: The burgeoning of space activities, fuelled by technological developments and growing involvement of private industry, has revealed the inadequacies of the current international legal framework (ILF) of outer space. Space law, originating from seminal treaties like the Outer Space Treaty, was conceived for state-dominated and less commercialised space activities. But the rise of New Space industries, space tourism, mega constellations and space mining ventures have given rise to regulatory challenges that defy the established legal principles of non-appropriation, liability and peaceful use. This article critically assesses the need for codification of emerging norms in space law, noting the growing use of soft law instruments and lack of enforcement mechanisms. It also examines issues of compliance, especially those relating to state responsibility for private actors and the absence of consistent national regulatory standards. The effectiveness of international bodies, such as the United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space, is assessed in tackling governance issues and enhancing international co-operation. The research suggests the future of space law is aligned with the integration of international obligations and national laws, improved compliance mechanisms and inclusive, global governance frameworks. It suggests reforms to promote sustainability, accountability, and inclusive access to outer space, in order to bring space law in line with the realities of today's space activities.

Keywords: Space Law, Codification, Global Governance, Compliance Mechanisms, Outer Space Treaty.

1. Introduction

Space law has evolved to become a fascinating branch of international law since the dawn of the space age. Space law was first developed in the geopolitical climate of the Cold War, as a sub-discipline of public international law that sought to avoid war and promote the peaceful exploration and use of outer space. The early framework, notably the Outer Space Treaty, laid down principles such as the prohibition of appropriation of celestial objects, freedom of exploration and the use of outer space for the benefit of all countries. These principles were in alignment with a state-centred model where only a handful of technologically developed nations had the capacity to pursue space activities. But the present scenario has undergone a major transformation, marked by the emergence of private players, commercialisation of space activities, and involvement of emerging space powers, such as India.

The growing diversity of space activities now include satellite telecommunications, remote sensing, space navigation, space tourism, asteroid mining and mega-constellation deployments. This has shifted the boundaries between public and private actors, resulting in complex regulatory, accountability and jurisdictional issues. Space is no longer an exclusive preserve for scientific research and military interests, as it was in previous decades, but a vibrant economic arena with significant commercial stakes at stake. As a result, the current legal framework - built for a different era - is struggling to keep up with the reality. Challenges like space congestion, debris, and sustainability also add to the governance challenges.

In addition, the lack of a coherent and enforceable global framework has resulted in piecemeal approaches, with countries enacting various national laws to govern private space operations. This lack of consistency undermines the uniformity of the law, potentially leading to conflicting interpretations and applications. Innovations such as artificial intelligence and reusable rockets also lead to an acceleration of change, outstripping the pace at which new legal norms can be developed. As such, the future of space law depends on its capacity to keep pace with these changing circumstances while upholding the core principles that warrant legitimacy. This requires a re-evaluation of legal doctrines as well as a clear, inclusive and forward-looking governance approach that is able to deal with the challenges of the 21st century.

2. The Basis of International Space Law: Treaties and Principles

International space law is underpinned by a framework of multilateral treaties negotiated under the aegis of the United Nations, forming a regulatory framework for space activities. The Outer Space Treaty, sometimes known as the "Magna Carta" of space law, is a pivotal treaty in this collection. It enshrines key principles, including the prohibition of claims of sovereignty over outer space, peaceful exploration and use of celestial bodies, and the requirement to carry out space activities for the benefit and in the interests of all States. This treaty is complemented by other significant treaties, such as the Liability Convention, the Registration Convention and the Moon Agreement, which deal with specific issues in space governance, including liability for damage, registration of space objects, and the resources of celestial bodies.

These treaties embody fundamental principles, such as the responsibility of states for their space activities, regardless of whether they are undertaken by governmental or non-governmental actors. This is especially relevant in today's era, where private entities play a leading role. States must authorise and continually monitor such activities, thus serving as a bridge between international and domestic law. Further, the principle of international cooperation and due regard highlights the need for states to refrain from harmful interference with the space activities of others, reflecting the common nature of outer space.

Despite their fundamental role, these treaties have limitations. Many of their provisions are very generalised and do not provide practical guidance for their implementation, which has resulted in interpretative uncertainty. For example, the Moon Agreement is not widely ratified, reducing its impact on resource development. Moreover, technological developments have outpaced some treaty provisions, making them inadequate or irrelevant. The voluntary nature of compliance and the lack of effective enforcement measures also diminish the effectiveness of the legal framework.

Besides treaties, other non-binding instruments, such as principles and guidelines adopted by international bodies, play a significant role in shaping norms. Such instruments, while contributing to the formation of customary international law, have no binding force and therefore pose challenges of enforcement. As such, the existing framework of space law, although sound in principle, needs to be streamlined and updated to reflect the realities of modern space activities and to remain relevant in the future.

3. Codification of New Norms in Outer Space Activities

The evolving nature of outer space activities has led to the emergence of new legal norms that are not codified, or are in the form of soft law. Codification, in this sense, involves the gradual clarification and consolidation of these emerging norms into binding legal norms that offer certainty and consistency. The growing use of soft law instruments, such as guidelines on space debris mitigation and long-term sustainability of outer space activities, is both a testament to and a criticism of the current state of affairs. Soft law enables quick responses to technological developments, but it lacks enforceability to guarantee states' adherence.

Space resource exploitation is one of the areas that urgently needs to be codified. The recent interest in asteroid mining and the exploitation of resources on the Moon has called into question the applicability of the non-

appropriation principle and the "common heritage of mankind" principle. The lack of uniformity in national strategies, such as unilateral laws acknowledging private property rights over extracted resources, threatens international space law's unity. Codification is needed to balance these interests and establish a universal law framework for resource use.

Another key focus is space traffic management, which is increasingly relevant with the growing number of satellites and the potential for collisions. Lack of clear and enforceable norms regarding orbital behaviour and coordination worsens the issue of space debris and undermines the sustainability of space operations. Norms could provide guidelines for avoiding collisions, exchanging information, and transparency of operations, improving safety and coordination.

Moreover, the use of new technologies, like artificial intelligence and automation, in space operations raises novel legal issues concerning responsibility and decision-making. Current laws do not sufficiently account for these challenges, so new norms are needed to accommodate the complexities of technologically sophisticated space operations. Codification is also essential for aligning domestic laws with international obligations, promoting consistency and avoiding regulatory gaps.

Finally, codification must strike a balance between legal certainty and flexibility to adapt to future technological developments. This means a participatory approach that includes governments, international agencies and the private sector. Codification, by turning emerging practices into legal norms, can boost the legitimacy and effectiveness of space law, ensuring its ability to adapt to a rapidly changing and interlinked space.

4. Compliance and State Responsibilities in Space

Ensuring compliance is a key challenge for the effective application of international space law. Space law, unlike other fields of international law, does not have central enforcement mechanisms, and relies on self-compliance by states with their treaty obligations. The concept of state responsibility, as enshrined in the Outer Space Treaty, imposes an obligation on states to ensure that their national activities, including those by non-government entities, comply with international law. But the growing role of private actors presents challenges for the enforcement of these responsibilities, as states may be unable or unwilling to adequately regulate private space activities.

A key challenge to compliance is the varying regulatory approaches at the national level. Some states have enacted detailed space regulatory laws, while others have limited or non-existent regulation. This allows for regulatory shopping, as private companies might opt for jurisdictions with weaker regulatory frameworks. This undermines the consistent application of international law, and raises the potential for malevolent activities, such as creating space debris and misusing space resource slots.

Liability is another complicating factor. Under the current legal regime, states are internationally liable for damage resulting from their space objects, even if the space activity was undertaken by a private entity. This may lead to a disjuncture between the entity conducting the activity and the state liable, which has implications for the deterrent effect of liability. Additionally, the absence of mechanisms for dispute settlement and compensation further complicates the enforcement of liability rules.

Transparency and data-sharing also play a crucial role in compliance, but are not sufficiently addressed. The lack of reporting obligations and data-sharing standards hampers states' capacity to assess and verify compliance with international norms. This is especially concerning in the areas of space traffic management and space debris mitigation, where the availability of timely information is crucial.

To overcome these obstacles, there is a need for improved compliance mechanisms, such as monitoring, reporting and verification. Setting binding norms and creating institutional mechanisms for enforcement would enhance the space law regime. Furthermore, capacity-building measures and international cooperation are crucial to help states

carry out their regulatory functions. Failure to do so is likely to create a disconnect between legal frameworks and practical implementation, jeopardising the legitimacy and effectiveness of the space law regime.

5. International Governance and Roles

Space governance is by nature an international effort, involving states, international bodies and the private sector. The United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) is at the forefront of this effort, as the main institution for the formulation of international space law and policy. COPUOS engages in consultations and encourages cooperation through its subcommittees, and establishes guidelines to promote peaceful and sustainable space activities. But its consensus-driven approach can slow down and restrict the development of space regulations, especially in response to new challenges.

Besides COPUOS, space governance is supported by other international and regional institutions, such as dedicated agencies and joint initiatives dedicated to particular aspects of space activities. These bodies are essential for promoting technical cooperation, capacity-building and knowledge sharing. However, the lack of a unified institution with regulatory authority creates a fragmented governance structure, with multiple institutions with overlapping jurisdictions and limited coordination.

The increased involvement of private actors has added further complexity, requiring the involvement of non-governmental actors in governance. Government-industry collaborations and industry initiatives play an increasingly prominent role in the evolution of space law and policy. But the inclusion of private actors in global governance raises questions about transparency, accountability and fairness of benefit sharing.

Another key consideration is the inclusion of developing nations in space governance. While space is the common heritage of mankind, there is an unequal distribution of space technology and resources. Participatory governance mechanisms are needed to overcome this disparity and ensure equitable representation. Mechanisms such as capacity building, technology transfer and equitable distribution of the benefits of space activities play a crucial role in this regard.

The key to the future of space governance is improving coordination between existing governance institutions and establishing new mechanisms to deal with emerging issues. This could include the creation of dedicated regulatory agencies, the ratification of international treaties and the enhancement of existing institutional structures. Through collaboration and inclusivity, international governance mechanisms can promote sustainable and equitable use of space for all nations.

6. Conclusion

Space law is at a pivotal point in its evolution driven by technological advancement, commercialisation and geopolitical changes. Although the fundamental norms set out in treaties like the Outer Space Treaty remain a solid foundation, they are facing challenges from the reality of modern space activities. Codification of new norms, enforcement and compliance mechanisms and improvement in global governance frameworks are more urgent today than ever before.

An equitable and sustainable space law regime should balance the twin goals of innovation and accountability. This must be achieved through a compromise that upholds the principles of non-appropriation, peaceful use and international cooperation while embracing change. Codification is important to establish legal predictability and to resolve different national approaches, thus avoiding fragmentation. But compliance mechanisms are also needed to ensure implementation of these obligations.

International governance needs to adapt to the growing role of private actors and the heterogeneity of space actors. This means not only strengthening existing bodies but also considering new forms of governance that are more

participatory. Encouraging transparency, information exchange and capacity-building is essential to building trust and cooperation.

In conclusion, the future of space law will be determined by the willingness of the international community to promote shared values and tackle shared challenges. Through a proactive and inclusive approach, it is possible to create a legal framework that promotes sustainable use of outer space for the benefit of all humanity now and in the future. In this way, space law can continue to play a crucial role in advancing peace, security, and development in an ever-changing world.

References

A. BOOKS

1. Francis Lyall and Paul B. Larsen, Space Law: A Treatise (2nd edn, Routledge 2018).
2. Frans G. von der Dunk (ed), Handbook of Space Law (Edward Elgar 2015).
3. Ram S. Jakhu and Paul Stephen Dempsey (eds), Routledge Handbook of Space Law (Routledge 2017).
4. Fabio Tronchetti, Fundamentals of Space Law and Policy (Springer 2013).
5. Stephan Hobe, Space Law (Nomos 2019).
6. Yanal Abul Failat and Anél Ferreira-Snyman, Outer Space Law: Legal Policy and Practice (2nd edn, 2022).
7. Bin Cheng, Studies in International Space Law (Oxford University Press 1997).
8. Michael Byers, Who Owns Outer Space? International Law, Astrophysics and the Sustainable Development of Space (Cambridge University Press 2019).
9. Matthew J. Kleiman, The Little Book of Space Law (ABA 2013).
10. Steve Mirmina et al, An Introduction to International Space Law and Space Laws of the United States (2022).

B. ARTICLES / CHAPTERS / SCHOLARLY CONTRIBUTIONS

1. Malcolm N. Shaw, 'Air Law and Space Law' in International Law (Cambridge University Press 2012).
2. 'Outer Space Law' in Customary International Law in Times of Fundamental Change (Cambridge University Press).
3. Lawrence et al, 'The Case for Space Environmentalism' (2022).

C. INTERNATIONAL LEGAL INSTRUMENTS

1. Outer Space Treaty
2. Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects 1972
3. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space 1975
4. Agreement Governing the Activities of States on the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies 1979
5. United Nations General Assembly, Principles Relevant to the Use of Nuclear Power Sources in Outer Space (1992)
6. United Nations, Guidelines for the Long-Term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities (2019)

D. REPORTS / INSTITUTIONAL MATERIALS

1. United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space Reports and Legal Subcommittee Documents (various years up to 2022)
2. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA), Space Law Treaties and Principles (compiled editions up to 2022)