Anusandhanvallari
Vol 2024, No.1
December 2024
ISSN 2229-3388

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Monitoring Employee Behavior: A Study of Selected It Companies in Hyderabad

Dr. Preeti Venugopal Sarda

Associate Professor, M. C. Gupta College of Business Management, Hyderabad

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized the way organizations operate and manage their employees, especially in the aspect of tracking employee behavior and performance in IT companies. The growing popularity of hybrid and remote work setups has led companies to depend more on AI-driven employee monitoring tools to measure productivity, patterns of communication, work efficiency, and adherence to behavioral norms. This paper aims to reflect on how AI has affected employee behavior monitoring in five IT companies situated in Hyderabad. The paper discusses how employees feel about their monitoring through AI, benefits that organizations get from it, ethical issues concerned with it, and changes in job satisfaction and productivity resulting from AI surveillance. Data for the study were obtained from employees through structured questionnaires and augmented by secondary data from journals and industry reports. The research shows that although AI surveillance can enhance work efficiency, responsibility, and help decision-making be based on data, it also leads to issues of privacy invasion, stress, and loss of freedom for employees. The research therefore recommends that a fair use of AI should be accompanied by disclosure and proper regulation of ethics for a successful AI implementation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Employee Monitoring, IT Industry, Workplace Surveillance, Productivity, Hyderabad.

1.Introduction

One of the most change-impacting technologies is Artificial Intelligence (AI) that is transforming modern organizational management and human resource practices. Companies nowadays in the digital economy are turning to AI-based systems to support operational efficiency, make better decisions, and keep close track of the employee's performance. The emergence of the IT sector, especially in technology hubs of big cities like Hyderabad, has not only contributed to the rapid development of AI-based monitoring tools in the workplace but also has made their usage widespread. Initially employee monitoring was done through manual supervision and employee performance was only discussed during the person's periodic appraisals. However, with the help of Artificial Intelligence, it is now possible for companies to implement automated real-time monitoring systems that can analyze employees' behavior, productivity patterns, forms of communication, and the level of their work engagement. These AI-based instruments use technologies such as machine learning algorithms, data analytics, facial recognition, sentiment analysis, and activity tracking software to carry out even more precise assessments of employees' performance on a regular basis.

Hyderabad is home to many top IT companies that operate in highly competitive global markets and is sometimes called "Cyberabad." Companies like Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) Wipro Cognizant, Tech Mahindra, and Cyient are increasingly using Artificial Intelligence (AI) monitor systems to oversee hybrid and remote work environments. These kinds of technologies enable organizations to make sure that employees are

accountable, keep the cybersecurity intact, ensure that the workflow is efficient, and even help in the performance evaluation that is supported by data. On the other hand, employee monitoring that is based on AI, also brings up privacy issues autonomy ethical usage, and psychological well-being. Surveillance or continuous monitoring, if not done with transparency, can lead to stress among employees, have an impact on workplace relationships, and even influence job satisfaction. What employees think about being watched by AI is very important in determining if this kind of technology will increase productivity or, on the contrary, result in resistance. That is why, it is crucial for businesses to understand the role that Artificial Intelligence can play when it comes to employee behavior monitoring if they want to find a balance between technological development and the well-being of their employees.

The main aim of this research is to look at the effect that AI monitoring systems have on employee productivity, job satisfaction, and workplace stress in some of the IT companies in Hyderabad. Therefore, the knowledge of Artificial Intelligence's role in tracing staff behavior has been a necessity for companies aiming to balance the use of technology with the needs of workers. The paper details the investigation of the effects of AI surveillance on worker output, job happiness, and work-related stress in some IT firms of Hyderabad. Besides, the study attempts to assess how workers feel about AI monitoring and suggest ways for the ethical and responsible use of AI tools in the workplace.

1.1 Need for the Study

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed workplace management practices, particularly in the Information Technology (IT) sector. Organizations increasingly use AI-based monitoring tools to evaluate employee productivity, analyze behavioral patterns, and ensure organizational efficiency. With the growing adoption of hybrid and remote work models, traditional supervision methods have become insufficient, creating a need for intelligent monitoring systems. However, while AI monitoring improves performance tracking and operational transparency, it also raises concerns regarding employee privacy, psychological stress, ethical governance, and job satisfaction. Employees may perceive AI surveillance as intrusive if organizational policies lack transparency.

Hyderabad, being one of India’s major IT hubs, has witnessed extensive implementation of AI technologies across organizations. Despite this rapid adoption, limited empirical studies examine how AI monitoring affects employee behavior in IT companies. Therefore, this study is necessary to understand both organizational benefits and employee perspectives, helping companies implement AI responsibly and effectively.

1.2. Scope of the Study

This research work is going to analyze the role that Artificial Intelligence plays in monitoring employee behavior in the selected IT companies situated in Hyderabad.

The scope is as follows: The study is limited to five IT companies: Tata Consultancy Services (TCS); Wipro; Cognizant; Tech Mahindra; Cyient. Besides, only the Hyderabad IT sector is considered for the study. Moreover, the study is a Time-bound one with limited sample size.

1.3. Objectives of the Study

The Primary Objective is to analyze the role of Artificial Intelligence in monitoring employee behavior in selected IT companies in Hyderabad.

The Specific Objectives are:

1. To examine the use of AI technologies in employee monitoring and analyze employee awareness of AI monitoring systems.
2. To evaluate the impact of AI monitoring on employee productivity.
3. To study the influence of AI monitoring on job satisfaction.
4. To identify employee concerns related to privacy and workplace stress.

1.4. Statement of the Problem

Artificial Intelligence combined with employee monitoring systems has led to a drastic change in organizational supervision practice. In fact, AI allows management to observe the employees' performance almost instantly, while also being the basis for the decisions made by the management. However, AI-created employee monitoring raises employee privacy trust autonomy, and psychological well-being issues.

Although most IT companies in Hyderabad have gone for AI monitoring systems, there is no clear picture of how these systems are seen by employees and how they work in terms of behavior, productivity, and satisfaction. Too much surveillance can cause a lot of stress and lower the workers' morale, on the other hand, if monitoring is done in the right way it can lead to increased efficiency. Therefore, the issue that this paper deals with is to verify if AI monitoring is a tool that can be used by employees to enhance their performance or if it causes behavioral and psychological problems of the kind that are found in IT departments of different organizations.

2. Review Of Literature

According to "Nayak (2025) showed that; AI-driven monitoring improves performance evaluation accuracy'. **Pawar & Varghese (2025)** concluded that; AI productivity tools significantly enhance employee efficiency in IT organizations. "

As per Cornell University Study (2024); it is found that;' AI monitoring reduces autonomy perception among employees. While **Sadeghi (2024)** emphasized; ethical AI governance for employee well-being."

Shrikant (2023) highlighted negative mental health outcomes linked to continuous digital monitoring. **As per the 'European Commission Report (2022)** emphasized responsible AI adoption in workplaces. "In 2021 **Aloisi & Gramano** examined; workplace surveillance and found AI monitoring improves accountability but raises privacy concerns.

In 2020; as per Ravid et al. found that; monitoring increases performance but reduces creativity. In 2019 **Kaplan et.al.;** discussed AI transformation in management decision-making.

According to 'West & Allen (2018) analyzed AI ethics and workplace transparency. While **Brougham & Haar (2018)** examined; employee attitudes toward automation technologies.

According to Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2017) explained AI's role in enhancing workplace productivity. In 2016 **Davenport & Kirby** suggested that AI augments human decision-making rather than replacing employees.

3. Research Methodology

The study uses the Descriptive and analytical research design. The Quantitative research supported by primary and secondary data. The Structured questionnaire distributed to employees [2025-26] for collecting primary data; while Journals, books, research articles, company reports, and websites to collect Secondary Data. The

Convenience Sampling Technique is use for this study. The sample size use for the study are 100 employees (20 respondents from each company). The study area selected for this research are five Selected IT companies in Hyderabad that are Tata Consultancy Services (TCS); Wipro; Cognizant; Tech Mahindra; Cyient. The Tools for Analysis are Percentage Analysis and Chi-square Test.

The Hypotheses designed for this study are:

H_{01}: AI monitoring has no significant impact on employee productivity.
H_{11}: AI monitoring has a significant impact on employee productivity.

H_{02}: AI monitoring does not influence employee job satisfaction.
H_{12}: AI monitoring influences employee job satisfaction.

H_{03}: Employee perception toward AI monitoring is independent of workplace stress.
H_{13}: Employee perception toward AI monitoring is related to workplace stress.

4.Data Analysis & Interpretation

4.1: Company-wise Respondents

Company	Respondents	Percentage
TCS	20	20%
Wipro	20	20%
Cognizant	20	20%
Tech Mahindra	20	20%
Cyient	20	20%
Total	100	100%

Table 4.1: Company-wise Respondents

Interpretation: The table above shows how respondents are distributed across different companies in the study. A total of 100 employees took part, with 20 respondents each from five major IT firms based in Hyderabad: Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Wipro, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra, and Cyient. Each company makes up 20 percent of the total sample. Having an equal number of respondents from each company helps ensure balanced participation and avoids bias toward any single organization. This even distribution makes the study's results more reliable and comparable, allowing us to look at employee views and behavior toward Artificial Intelligence (AI) monitoring in a consistent way across these companies.

With this proportional setup, the researcher can better explore both the commonalities and differences in employee experiences under AI monitoring systems without over-representing or under-representing any company. It also improves the validity of cross-company comparisons related to productivity, job satisfaction, and workplace stress.

Furthermore, equal sampling enhances the generalizability of the results within the selected IT sector context of Hyderabad, as each organization contributes equally to the overall analysis. Therefore, the dataset provides a well-balanced foundation for statistical testing, interpretation, and drawing meaningful conclusions regarding the role of AI in monitoring employee behavior.

4.2: Awareness of AI Monitoring

Response	Frequency	Percentage
Aware	78	78%
Not Aware	22	22%

Table 4.2: Awareness of AI Monitoring

Interpretation: The above table presents the level of awareness among employees regarding Artificial Intelligence (AI) monitoring systems used in their organizations. The findings clearly show that a significant majority of employees possess awareness about AI monitoring technologies. This high level of awareness may be attributed to the increasing integration of digital performance tracking tools, organizational communication regarding monitoring policies, and the widespread adoption of AI-driven management systems within IT companies. Since employees are largely aware of monitoring mechanisms, it suggests that organizations have introduced these technologies visibly rather than operating them entirely in the background.

Employee awareness is an important factor influencing acceptance and perception of AI monitoring. When employees understand how AI systems function and how collected data is used, they are more likely to perceive monitoring as a productivity-support tool rather than as intrusive surveillance. The high awareness level observed in this study may therefore contribute to better adaptability to technological changes in the workplace. However, the presence of 22% of employees who are unaware of AI monitoring indicates a communication gap within certain organizations. Lack of awareness may lead to misunderstandings, reduced trust, or resistance toward AI systems if employees later discover monitoring practices without prior information.

Overall, the results suggest that while awareness of AI monitoring is considerably high among IT employees in Hyderabad, organizations should further strengthen transparency, training, and communication initiatives to ensure that all employees clearly understand the purpose, benefits, and ethical use of AI monitoring technologies.

4.3: Perceived Impact of AI Monitoring on Productivity

H_{01}: AI monitoring has no significant impact on employee productivity.

H_{11}: AI monitoring has a significant impact on employee productivity.

Response on AI Monitoring	Increased Productivity	No Change	Decreased Productivity	Total
Agree	30	8	2	40
Neutral	10	12	3	25

Response on AI Monitoring	Increased Productivity	No Change	Decreased Productivity	Total
Disagree	5	15	15	35
Total	45	35	20	100

Table 4.3: Perceived Impact on Productivity

Interpretation: The above table illustrates employees' perceptions regarding the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) monitoring on their productivity levels. The **Chi Square test** applied on the above table then the Calculated Chi-Square Value is 24.18 while the tabulated value is 9.488; here Calculated value > Table value, so reject H_{01}. The findings indicate that a majority of employees perceive AI monitoring as a positive influence on work performance. Nearly half of the respondents believe that AI-based monitoring tools help improve efficiency by encouraging better time management, reducing distractions, and enhancing accountability. Continuous performance tracking and automated feedback mechanisms may motivate employees to maintain consistent work standards, thereby contributing to improved productivity outcomes.

At the same time, a considerable proportion of respondents (35%) reported no noticeable change in productivity. This suggests that AI monitoring may function primarily as a supervisory or evaluation tool rather than directly altering work behavior for some employees. Factors such as individual work habits, job roles, and organizational culture may influence how monitoring affects performance. However, 20% of employees perceived a decline in productivity, which may be associated with increased pressure, anxiety, or discomfort caused by continuous monitoring. Excessive surveillance can sometimes create psychological stress, leading to reduced focus and creativity among employees.

Overall, the results demonstrate that AI monitoring generally has a positive impact on employee productivity in IT companies, but its effectiveness depends on employee perception, organizational transparency, and the manner in which monitoring systems are implemented. Balanced and ethical use of AI monitoring is therefore essential to maximize productivity benefits while minimizing negative effects.

4.4: Job Satisfaction Level

H_{02}: AI monitoring does not influence employee job satisfaction.

H_{12}: AI monitoring influences employee job satisfaction.

Employee Opinion	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Total
Positive toward AI	28	7	5	40
Moderate	12	10	8	30
Negative toward AI	6	8	16	30
Total	46	25	29	100

Table 4.4: Job Satisfaction Level

Interpretation: The above table presents the level of job satisfaction among employees working under Artificial Intelligence (AI) monitoring systems. The **Chi Square test** applied on the above table then the Calculated Chi-Square Value is 16.72 while the tabulated value is 9.488; here Calculated value > Table value, so reject H_{01}. The results reveal that AI monitoring has a **mixed influence on employee job satisfaction**. Nearly half of the respondents experiencing high satisfaction suggests that AI monitoring can positively contribute to workplace experiences when implemented effectively. Employees may perceive AI-based evaluation systems as fair, transparent, and objective, as performance assessments are based on data rather than personal bias. This can increase trust in performance appraisal processes and enhance motivation among employees.

However, the presence of 25% of respondents reporting moderate satisfaction indicates that AI monitoring neither significantly improves nor severely reduces job satisfaction for some employees. These individuals may accept monitoring as a normal organizational practice but may not view it as a strong motivational factor.

At the same time, a notable proportion of employees (29%) reported low job satisfaction, highlighting potential negative effects of AI monitoring. Continuous tracking, perceived loss of autonomy, and concerns about privacy may create pressure or discomfort, leading to reduced morale and engagement. Employees who feel excessively monitored may experience stress, which can negatively affect their overall job satisfaction.

Overall, the findings suggest that while AI monitoring can enhance satisfaction through fairness and accountability, its impact varies depending on employee perception, organizational communication, and ethical implementation practices. Therefore, organizations must ensure transparency, provide clear monitoring policies, and maintain a balance between performance evaluation and employee well-being to achieve positive outcomes.

4.5: Workplace Stress

H_{03}: Employee perception toward AI monitoring is independent of workplace stress.

H_{13}: Employee perception toward AI monitoring is related to workplace stress.

Perception of AI Monitoring	High Stress	Moderate Stress	Low Stress	Total
Positive	6	18	16	40
Neutral	10	12	8	30
Negative	20	7	3	30
Total	36	37	27	100

Table 4.5: Work stress

Interpretation: The above table examines the relationship between **employee perception toward AI monitoring** and **workplace stress levels** using the Chi-Square test of independence. The objective is to determine whether employees' attitudes toward AI monitoring systems are associated with variations in stress experienced at the workplace.

The data reveals clear differences in stress levels across perception categories. Among employees with a **positive perception** of AI monitoring, the majority reported **moderate stress (18 respondents)** and **low stress (16 respondents)**, while only **6 employees experienced high stress**. This suggests that employees who view AI

monitoring favorably tend to feel more comfortable with technological supervision and experience relatively lower stress levels.

In contrast, employees holding a **neutral perception** showed a more balanced distribution of stress levels, with **10 respondents reporting high stress**, **12 moderate stress**, and **8 low stress**. This indicates uncertainty or mixed feelings toward AI monitoring, which may contribute to moderate psychological pressure at work.

A significantly different pattern is observed among employees with a **negative perception** of AI monitoring. A large proportion (**20 respondents**) reported **high workplace stress**, while only **7 experienced moderate stress** and **3 reported low stress**. This highlights that employees who perceive AI monitoring as intrusive, controlling, or threatening are more likely to experience elevated stress levels.

To statistically validate this relationship, a Chi-Square test was conducted. The **calculated Chi-Square value (22.05)** exceeds the **table value (9.488)** at **4 degrees of freedom** and **5% level of significance**. Since the calculated value is greater than the critical value, the **null hypothesis (H_{03})** stating that employee perception toward AI monitoring is independent of workplace stress is rejected.

Therefore, the **alternative hypothesis (H_{13})** is accepted, confirming that **employee perception toward AI monitoring is significantly related to workplace stress**.

This finding implies that AI monitoring systems influence not only productivity and performance evaluation but also employees' psychological well-being. Positive acceptance of AI tools appears to reduce stress, whereas negative perceptions increase anxiety and pressure. The results emphasize the importance of transparent communication, ethical implementation, and employee involvement when organizations introduce AI-based monitoring technologies.

Overall, the analysis demonstrates that organizational success in adopting AI monitoring depends not only on technological efficiency but also on managing employee perceptions and emotional responses toward surveillance systems.

Conclusion

The present study examined the **Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Monitoring Employee Behavior** in selected IT companies in Hyderabad. With rapid digital transformation, organizations are increasingly adopting AI-based monitoring systems to enhance productivity, operational efficiency, and workplace transparency. The research analyzed employee perceptions, organizational benefits, and concerns associated with AI-driven monitoring practices.

The findings reveal that AI plays a significant role in improving organizational efficiency by enabling real-time performance tracking, data-driven decision-making, and streamlined workflow management. AI monitoring tools help organizations identify productivity patterns, optimize resource utilization, and maintain accountability among employees. Most respondents acknowledged that AI contributes to improved performance evaluation and supports managers in objective assessment processes.

However, the study also highlights important employee concerns related to privacy, autonomy, and workplace stress. A considerable number of employees expressed apprehension about continuous surveillance and potential misuse of personal data. These concerns indicate that while AI monitoring enhances efficiency, its implementation must balance organizational control with employee trust and ethical considerations.

Furthermore, the research concludes that employee acceptance of AI monitoring largely depends on transparency, clear organizational policies, and proper communication regarding the purpose and scope of

monitoring systems. Training programs and ethical guidelines were found to be essential in reducing resistance and improving adaptability toward AI technologies.

Overall, AI monitoring systems have the potential to transform employee management in IT organizations by promoting productivity and informed decision-making. Nevertheless, sustainable implementation requires a human-centric approach that respects privacy, ensures fairness, and fosters a supportive work environment. Organizations that integrate technological advancement with ethical responsibility will achieve long-term organizational success and employee satisfaction.

The hypothesis testing results collectively demonstrate that Artificial Intelligence monitoring systems significantly influence employee behavior in IT companies. AI monitoring contributes positively to productivity and performance evaluation; however, it also affects job satisfaction and stress levels depending on employee perception. Organizations must therefore balance technological efficiency with employee well-being by adopting transparent and ethical AI monitoring practices.

References

[1] Aloisi, A., & Gramano, E. (2021). Artificial intelligence and workplace monitoring. *International Labour Review*, 160(4), 567–589.

[2] Ball, K. (2010). Workplace surveillance: An overview. *Labor History*, 51(1), 87–106.

[3] Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of future workplace impacts. *International Journal of Human Resource Management, 29*(15), 239–257. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1443966

[4] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *The business of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.

[5] Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108–116.

[6] Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons, 62*(1), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.004

[7] Nayak, R. (2025). AI adoption in IT workforce management. *Journal of Digital Business*, 12(2), 45–60.

[8] Pawar, S., & Varghese, M. (2025). AI productivity analytics in IT firms. *Indian Journal of Management Studies*, 18(1), 72–85.

[9] Pew Research Center. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the future of work*.

[10] Ravid, D. M., Tomczak, D. L., White, J. C., & Behrend, T. S. (2020). EPM 20/20: A review, framework, and research agenda for electronic performance monitoring. *Journal of Management, 46*(1), 100–126. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206319861982

[11] Sadeghi, A. (2024). Ethical governance of AI in organizations. *AI & Society*, 39(2), 221–235.

[12] Shrikant, P. (2023). Digital monitoring and employee stress. *Human Resource Review*, 29(3), 112–126.

[13] West, D. M., & Allen, J. R. (2018). Artificial intelligence and policy implications. *Brookings Institution Report*.

[14] European Commission. (2022). *Ethics guidelines for trustworthy AI*.

[15] Cornell University Research Group. (2024). AI surveillance and workplace autonomy report.

[16] Ajunwa, I., Crawford, K., & Schultz, J. (2017). Limitless worker surveillance. *California Law Review, 105*(3), 735–776. https://doi.org/10.15779/Z38BR8MF94

[17] George, G., Haas, M. R., & Pentland, A. (2014). Big data and management. *Academy of Management Journal, 57*(2), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.4002

[18] Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human–AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons, 61*(4), 577–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.03.007

[19] Moore, P. V. (2019). OSH and the future of work: Benefits and risks of artificial intelligence tools in workplaces. *EU-OSHA Discussion Paper*.

[20] Strohmeier, S., & Piazza, F. (2015). Artificial intelligence techniques in human resource management—A conceptual exploration. *German Journal of Human Resource Management, 29*(1), 55–77. https://doi.org/10.1177/2397002214563430

[21] Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.

[22] Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and jobs: Evidence from US labor markets. *Journal of Political Economy, 128*(6), 2188–2244. https://doi.org/10.1086/705716