Anusandhanvallari
Vol 2025, No.1
December 2025
ISSN 2229-3388

Digital Humanities and the Unconscious: A Study of Neo-Surrealist Expression in the Digital Age

Dr. Shilpi Bhattacharya
Professor and Dean,
Faculty of Arts and Humanities,
Kalinga University, Naya Raipur
Email: shilpi.bhattacharya@kalingauniversity.ac.in

Abstract

This paper investigates how contemporary Digital Humanities (DH) practices are evolving beyond analytical and archival paradigms to serve as expressive platforms for neo-surrealist thought. By integrating artificial intelligence, generative art, virtual reality, and digital interactivity, DH becomes a locus where unconscious drives, dream imagery, and irrational juxtapositions are coded into digital environments. Drawing from both the historical legacy of Surrealism and the affordances of computational creativity, this research traces the emergence of what is termed "neo-surrealist expression" an aesthetic and theoretical revival of the surreal, mediated through algorithmic systems. Through detailed case studies of generative AI texts, immersive VR installations, and digital art projects, the paper explores how the unconscious is no longer solely a psychoanalytic interiority, but a computational terrain coded by data, logic, and machine hallucination. Ultimately, this study proposes a critical framework for understanding Digital Humanities not just as a methodological toolkit, but as a creative force capable of mediating and manifesting the unconscious.

Keywords: Digital Humanities, Surrealism, Neo-Surrealism, Artificial Intelligence, Unconscious, VR Art, Generative Aesthetics, Algorithmic Expression

Introduction

Digital Humanities (DH) has traditionally been understood as an analytical field, defined by the application of computational tools to study, interpret, and visualize texts, cultures, and historical data. Scholars in this field have focused on the digitization of literary corpora, mapping cultural and linguistic trends, network analysis of social and textual data, and other methodologies that leverage computational efficiency to extract insight from large-scale datasets. In these practices, discipline has largely been guided by rationalist paradigms, emphasizing replicability, accuracy, and structured inquiry. The central ethos of DH, therefore, has historically been aligned with empirical and methodological rigor, seeking to enhance traditional humanities scholarship with precision and scale. As it is well stated-

> "The marvelous is always beautiful, anything marvelous is beautiful, in fact only the marvelous is beautiful." André Breton, Manifesto of Surrealism (1924)

Yet, as digital technologies evolve, the scope of DH is expanding beyond its analytical foundations. The advent of generative algorithms, immersive media, and artificial intelligence challenges conventional boundaries, suggesting that DH need not remain confined to the rational or the empirical. A provocative question emerges: can Digital Humanities serve not only as an instrument of analysis but also as a platform for imaginative, unconscious, and dreamlike expression? In other words, can the computational frameworks that underpin DH also

become vehicles for aesthetic experimentation, the exploration of irrational structures, and the translation of unconscious thought into tangible digital forms?

This paper affirmatively positions Digital Humanities as a potential "dream machine", capable of mediating between human cognition and algorithmic creativity. By conceptualizing code, data, and generative processes as conduits for unconscious expression, DH is reframed from a strict rationalist endeavor to a neo-surrealist practice, where computation becomes not merely a tool but a language of the unconscious, and datasets serve as palettes for imaginative possibility. In doing so, this approach extends the epistemological horizon of Digital Humanities, situating it at the intersection of humanistic inquiry, psychoanalytic theory, and contemporary digital creativity. As Fanon opines-

> "The colonized is elevated above his jungle status in proportion to his adoption of the mother country's cultural standards." (Black Skin, White Masks)

To understand the potential for neo-surrealist approaches within DH, it is essential to revisit the foundations of Surrealism, the artistic and literary movement of the early twentieth century. Initiated by André Breton and his contemporaries in the 1920s, Surrealism emerged in response to the cultural and psychological dislocations of post-World War I Europe. Surrealists sought to challenge the primacy of rationality and conscious intention by foregrounding the unconscious, dream logic, and irrational associations as valid modes of creative insight.

The movement was heavily influenced by Freudian psychoanalysis, which posited the unconscious as a repository of repressed desires, traumatic memories, and latent drives. Surrealist artists and writers adopted techniques designed to bypass conscious censorship and tap into these hidden mental realms. Practices such as automatism, wherein artists allowed hand or pen to move without conscious control, were designed to manifest unconscious thought directly into artistic form. Other strategies included juxtaposition, placing incongruous elements side by side to evoke unexpected meaning, and dream transcription, translating the logic and imagery of dreams into visual or textual media.

Although Surrealism was initially a reaction to the sociopolitical and psychological trauma of post-war Europe, its core methodologies retain enduring relevance. The emphasis on irrationality, paradox, and the liberation of desire continues to resonate within contemporary art, literature, and culture. Surrealism's insistence on the creative potential of the unconscious provides a theoretical bridge to the digital age, where computation and algorithmic processes can emulate forms of associative, non-linear thought that mirror dreamlike structures.

The integration of Surrealist principles into DH constitutes a neo-surrealist turn within the field, a reorientation from the rationalist paradigm toward an exploration of imagination, unpredictability, and the unconscious. Traditionally, DH has focused on structured analysis—mapping, annotating, and interpreting data within predefined frameworks. By contrast, a neo-surrealist DH reframes computational tools as collaborators in creative expression, capable of generating outputs that reflect associative, unexpected, or dreamlike qualities.

In this context, digital tools operate analogously to the Surrealist methods of the early twentieth century. Human automatism, as practiced by Surrealist writers, finds its digital counterpart in generative algorithms: programs that produce emergent patterns beyond explicit human control. The use of randomness, iterative processes, and combinatorial logic within algorithms parallels the Surrealist aim of bypassing conscious rationality, allowing the unconscious—or in this case, the machine's generative logic—to assert influence over the creative product.

This convergence does not merely mimic Surrealism of the past; it reinvents Surrealist methodology for the digital era, creating a dynamic interplay between human intent, machine logic, and emergent meaning. In this framework,

DH is positioned not only as a site for cultural analysis but also as a laboratory for aesthetic experimentation, where algorithmic processes are integrated into the exploration of unconscious or irrational phenomena.

Central to this neo-surrealist perspective is the concept of the digital unconscious. In Freudian theory, the unconscious is a space of latent drives and associative structures, revealed through dreams, slips of the tongue, and neurotic symptoms. The digital unconscious, by analogy, emerges from algorithmic processes, which, while built on rational logic, often produce outputs that are unpredictable, uncanny, or unintentionally expressive.

Generative AI systems such as GPT-4, Midjourney, and DeepDream exemplify this phenomenon. These tools operate on massive datasets, learning patterns and correlations that are often opaque to human understanding. When prompted, they generate outputs that remix disparate elements, form novel associations, and bypass conscious intention—evoking a sense of dreamlike unpredictability akin to Surrealist techniques. For example, Google's DeepDream algorithm produces hallucinatory visual landscapes by accentuating patterns in neural networks, creating images that are simultaneously familiar and alien. Similarly, Midjourney and other text-to-image models combine stylistic, thematic, and semantic elements in ways that frequently surprise their users, echoing the paradoxical juxtapositions central to Surrealism.

Here, code becomes the conduit of a digital unconscious, capable of generating emergent meaning through the logic of pattern recognition. The outputs of these systems are not entirely random; they are structured by both training data and algorithmic parameters. Yet within this structure, the associative and irrational qualities of dream logic emerge, revealing a computational analogue of the human unconscious. Generative AI thus mediates between human imagination and machine logic, producing artifacts that can be interpreted as both analytical and aesthetic, conscious and unconscious.

The practical methodologies of neo-surrealist DH involve both human creativity and computational experimentation. Techniques such as randomization, iterative processing, and recombination mirror the Surrealist practices of automatism and juxtaposition. In the visual domain, neural networks, GANs (Generative Adversarial Networks), and algorithmic filters generate hybrid, hybridized, and uncanny images, often producing forms and patterns that exceed human anticipatory logic. In textual domains, language models can produce sequences of prose or poetry that juxtapose semantic elements in novel and unexpected ways, mirroring the dream logic of Surrealist writing.

Human-machine collaboration is a defining feature of this methodology. While algorithms can generate novel content, the human operator curates, prompts, and interprets the output, creating a dialogic process between conscious intention and emergent unpredictability. This interplay reflects the neo-surrealist ethos: creativity arises from the tension between control and chaos, reason and irrationality, human and machine.

The integration of Surrealist principles into DH has profound implications for both literary and cultural studies. First, it challenges traditional notions of authorship. In a neo-surrealist DH framework, the machine is not merely a tool but a co-creator, contributing emergent content that is partially autonomous from human control. This raises philosophical questions about creativity, originality, and the distribution of agency in digital cultural production.

Second, it transforms aesthetic experience. Artifacts produced through generative AI or algorithmic processes engage audiences in ways that are simultaneously familiar and uncanny, rational and irrational, conscious and unconscious. Such experiences expand the horizons of literary and visual interpretation, offering new modalities for engaging with narrative, imagery, and symbolic meaning.

Third, the framework foregrounds ethical, epistemological, and cultural considerations. If algorithms can produce outputs that reflect unconscious desires or societal biases, how should such content be interpreted, mediated, or

contextualized? Neo-surrealist DH thus requires critical engagement with both technological and humanistic concerns, emphasizing reflexivity, interpretive responsibility, and theoretical sophistication.

Discussion-

The advent of digital technologies has transformed the landscape of creative expression, opening new possibilities for exploring the unconscious, the irrational, and the dreamlike. In particular, generative art, virtual reality (VR), and AI-assisted text generation offer fertile ground for what can be termed neo-surrealist experimentation. By harnessing computational processes, these platforms produce works that challenge conventional notions of authorship, narrative logic, and aesthetic intention. N. Katherine Hayles observes,

> "We are no longer sealed off from the intelligent machines we build; rather, we are integrated into a cybernetic circuit in which boundaries between human and machine continually shift." (How We Became Posthuman)

Neo-surrealist digital media, therefore, does not merely imitate historical Surrealism but recontextualizes its principles—automatism, juxtaposition, and dream logic—within interactive, algorithmic, and immersive frameworks.

Generative digital systems operate in a liminal space between human intention and machine autonomy. They blend structured algorithmic rules with stochastic or probabilistic operations, producing outputs that are neither fully determined nor entirely random. In doing so, they evoke the associative, illogical, and uncanny qualities of Surrealist art while highlighting the emergent creativity inherent in contemporary computation. This framework provides a conceptual lens for understanding how digital tools can mediate unconscious aesthetics, producing outputs that simultaneously reflect human creativity and algorithmic patterning.

Generative art—art created, modified, or evolved through algorithmic processes—offers particularly compelling opportunities for neo-surrealist exploration. Algorithms can manipulate visual, auditory, or textual data, producing outputs that challenge human expectation and defy conventional aesthetic norms. Google's DeepDream project exemplifies this approach. By applying convolutional neural networks to images, DeepDream produces hallucinatory, dreamlike visual outputs: eyes embedded in trees, dogs emerging from clouds, or nested architectural structures. These images echo Surrealist strategies of juxtaposition and visual automatism, creating landscapes that are simultaneously familiar and uncanny.

Similarly, text-to-image generators like DALL·E or Midjourney translate textual prompts into visual forms, producing bizarre, unexpected combinations reminiscent of the exquisite corpse technique practiced by Surrealist artists. The results are artworks in which human intention interacts with machine patterning. The user's prompt provides a conceptual scaffold, while the algorithm generates outputs that incorporate unpredictable associations drawn from its training data. The final product is co-authored, situated between deliberate design and emergent computation, reflecting a digital analogue of Surrealist automatism.

These generative processes extend Surrealist methodologies into the computational domain. Whereas historical Surrealism relied on chance operations, free association, and spontaneous artistic gesture, digital generative systems achieve similar effects through probabilistic algorithms, neural networks, and iterative computation. Importantly, these outputs are not merely technical artifacts; they evoke emotional, cognitive, and aesthetic responses, demonstrating that algorithmic processes can serve as a conduit for unconscious expression.

Virtual Reality (VR) represents another domain in which neo-surrealist experimentation flourishes. Unlike static or linear media, VR enables the construction of immersive environments where the constraints of physical space, linear narrative, and logical causality are suspended. Users enter digitally constructed worlds in which gravity, spatial logic, and temporal continuity can be fluidly manipulated, creating experiences that embody Surrealist dream logic.

Artistic VR projects such as Laurie Anderson's Chalkroom and works by Marshmallow Laser Feast exemplify the potential of immersive surrealist media. In these installations, users navigate vast, mutable landscapes: walls of text dissolve into space, landscapes shift dynamically, and temporal perception is distorted. The environments are not only visually surreal but structurally irrational, designed to induce cognitive estrangement and a sense of wonder. By immersing users in spaces that defy conventional physical laws, VR realizes Surrealism's historical goal of liberating perception from rational constraints.

Moreover, VR allows for interactive dreamlike engagement, in which users can manipulate the environment, explore narratives in a non-linear fashion, and encounter emergent phenomena generated in real time. This interactivity extends Surrealist principles beyond static representation into dynamic, participatory media, enabling users to inhabit the liminal space between conscious intention and emergent virtual phenomena.

In addition to visual media, AI-generated texts offer novel opportunities for neo-surrealist literary experimentation. Platforms such as AI Dungeon and Sudowrite allow users to co-create narratives with machine intelligence, producing outputs that often resemble Surrealist prose in their non-linear, imagistic, and dreamlike qualities. The generated text frequently exhibits characteristics of automatic writing, narrative collage, and associative logic, echoing the creative strategies of early Surrealist writers.

Crucially, AI-generated narratives foreground the role of machine hallucination—instances in which AI produces content that is factually inaccurate, semantically improbable, or nonsensical. Whereas conventional approaches might regard these "errors" as failures, neo-surrealist DH treats them as sources of aesthetic innovation. By embracing the emergent, unpredictable qualities of AI output, digital humanists can explore terrains of literature in which unconscious processes emerge algorithmically, generating new forms of narrative experimentation that are simultaneously structured and chaotic.

In this sense, AI-generated texts become collaborative exercises in co-authorship between human and machine, with the machine contributing unpredictable semantic associations and the human shaping, curating, and contextualizing the output. The result is a digital analogue of Surrealist literary practice, where chance, automatism, and associative thought converge in immersive narrative experiences.

While the convergence of unconscious aesthetics and digital systems opens rich creative possibilities, it also raises significant ethical and epistemological questions. One pressing question concerns authorship and ownership: when an artwork or narrative emerges from human prompt and algorithmic processing, who can claim creative authority? The co-authored nature of generative art challenges traditional assumptions about artistic agency and intellectual property.

Additionally, the modeling of unconscious aesthetics through algorithmic systems raises questions about bias, representation, and digital memory. Generative models are trained on vast datasets reflecting human culture, knowledge, and existing biases. Consequently, the outputs they produce may unintentionally reproduce cultural prejudices, distortions, or omissions. Neo-surrealist experimentation must navigate these risks carefully, recognizing that the machine's "unconscious" is both emergent and mediated by human data.

The phenomenon of AI hallucination also introduces epistemological complexities. When machines generate unexpected, illogical, or nonsensical outputs, these are often interpreted as errors in conventional frameworks. However, from a neo-surrealist perspective, such hallucinations can be productive, serving as a source of aesthetic insight and creative innovation. This reframing requires critical frameworks capable of interpreting algorithmic outputs in terms of emergent meaning, associative logic, and unconscious resonance, rather than dismissing them as failures.

Finally, the immersive and participatory nature of VR and AI-mediated experiences raises questions about perception, cognition, and psychological impact. Surrealist strategies deliberately aim to induce disorientation, cognitive estrangement, or aesthetic surprise. Digital systems amplify these effects, creating environments that can alter perception, emotional state, and engagement. Ethical engagement with these media must account for the psychological implications of immersive neo-surrealist content.

The convergence of generative art, virtual reality, and AI-generated texts illustrates the potential for neo-surrealist experimentation within contemporary Digital Humanities. Generative algorithms, by blending human prompts with stochastic computation, create outputs that are associative, unpredictable, and dreamlike—echoing the automatism and juxtaposition central to Surrealism. VR expands these possibilities into immersive, interactive environments, while AI-generated texts allow for novel literary experimentation that foregrounds machine hallucination as a creative force.

Collectively, these digital media platforms challenge traditional notions of authorship, aesthetic intention, and narrative logic. They position the unconscious not as an exclusively human domain but as a collaborative space between human cognition and algorithmic emergence. At the same time, they necessitate careful ethical and epistemological engagement, addressing questions of ownership, bias, hallucination, and audience perception.

By recontextualizing Surrealist principles for the digital age, neo-surrealist DH demonstrates that computation can serve as both a tool of analysis and a medium of imaginative expression. The dreamlike, irrational, and associative qualities of these media offer profound new opportunities for exploring the intersections of art, literature, psychology, and technology. In embracing the unpredictable and the emergent, Digital Humanities becomes a platform where the unconscious, once the domain of human dreams, now finds expression in the digital imagination, opening new frontiers for creative, cognitive, and cultural exploration.

Conclusion-

Digital Humanities (DH) has historically positioned itself as a field of rigorous analysis, employing computational tools to digitize, annotate, and interpret cultural artifacts, texts, and historical datasets. Its methodologies have prioritized measurable insights, pattern recognition, and structured inquiry, emphasizing the rational, linear, and replicable. However, the contemporary digital landscape demands that DH expand its epistemological and methodological scope. As algorithms increasingly mediate human experience, understanding the digital requires engagement not only with rational analysis but also with affect, ambiguity, and irrationality.

This expansion envisions a neo-surrealist Digital Humanities, a field in which the unconscious, the fragmented, and the dreamlike are treated as legitimate sites of inquiry. By integrating the aesthetic and philosophical principles of Surrealism, DH can move beyond its current limitations to embrace fragmentation, non-linearity, and aesthetic dissonance as methodological tools. Rather than treating the unconscious as an abstract metaphor, a surreal DH proposes to “code the unconscious”—to use computational environments as fields for imaginative subversion, unconscious excavation, and poetic experimentation.

Surrealism, emerging in the early twentieth century under the leadership of André Breton, sought to liberate creativity from rationalist constraints. Influenced heavily by Freudian psychoanalysis, Surrealists emphasized the unconscious as a site of latent desire, affective intensity, and associative logic. Through techniques such as automatism, dream transcription, and juxtaposition, they created works that privileged unpredictability, paradox, and dissonance over coherence and linearity.

Historically, Surrealism responded to social, psychological, and cultural dislocations, offering an aesthetic methodology that disrupted conventional logic. Its principles are now increasingly relevant to DH, where digital environments can serve as platforms for similarly disruptive experimentation. By treating computational systems as collaborators in creative production, scholars and artists can translate Surrealist principles into the language of code, algorithms, and interactive media, enabling novel forms of thought and expression.

To conceptualize a neo-surrealist DH, it is essential to treat code not merely as a functional tool but as a medium capable of mediating unconscious processes. “Coding the unconscious” is thus both metaphorical and methodological. Digital environments—networks, simulations, AI systems, and virtual worlds—become sites where affective, irrational, and associative patterns can emerge.

In practical terms, coding the unconscious involves designing systems that embrace emergence, unpredictability, and ambiguity. Generative algorithms, neural networks, and AI-driven processes produce outputs that are often unanticipated, associative, and non-linear. These outputs, like Surrealist automatism, bypass conscious intention and foreground emergent meaning. In doing so, DH shifts from a purely analytical discipline to one that incorporates imaginative subversion, aesthetic rupture, and cognitive estrangement.

A neo-surrealist DH employs fragmentation, aesthetic dissonance, and non-linear structuring as deliberate methodological strategies. In textual analysis, this could involve algorithmic collage, where disparate sources are recombined to reveal latent associations. In visual media, generative algorithms can produce fractured, hybridized, or hallucinatory imagery that challenges perceptual expectations.

Non-linearity also manifests in interactive digital spaces, such as virtual reality (VR) installations, where narrative and spatial logic can be fluidly manipulated. These environments allow participants to experience multiple, concurrent, or contradictory perspectives, producing cognitive estrangement and immersive engagement. In embracing such structures, DH becomes a field for experimental epistemology, where knowledge is not only represented but also felt, experienced, and navigated in ways that mimic the unconscious processes valorized by Surrealism.

Generative art exemplifies the productive potential of neo-surrealist DH. By combining human intention with algorithmic processes, systems like Google DeepDream produce hallucinatory imagery that mirrors Surrealist aesthetics: nested architectures, eyes emerging from organic forms, or improbable landscapes that defy physical logic. The interplay between human input and algorithmic patterning produces works that are neither fully authored nor entirely random, highlighting the collaborative nature of human-machine creativity.

Similarly, text-to-image models such as DALL·E and Midjourney create visual outputs based on textual prompts. These systems often produce unexpected juxtapositions and associative patterns reminiscent of Surrealist exercises like the exquisite corpse, where meaning emerges from collaborative randomness. Generative art in this context demonstrates how DH can operationalize the unconscious algorithmically, creating spaces for emergent aesthetic and cognitive experiences.

Virtual Reality (VR) extends neo-surrealist methodologies into the domain of spatial and experiential exploration. Immersive environments can suspend the constraints of physical laws, linear narrative, and logical causality,

enabling participants to inhabit dreamlike worlds. Projects such as Laurie Anderson's Chalkroom and works by Marshmallow Laser Feast exemplify this approach, offering mutable landscapes, temporal distortions, and environments that respond dynamically to user presence.

In VR, participants engage with environments that are structurally irrational, producing effects of cognitive estrangement, perceptual disorientation, and affective intensity. Such experiences embody Surrealism's historical aim of liberating perception from rational control, while extending it into interactive, participatory media. By designing VR spaces that foreground ambiguity and associative logic, scholars and artists create living laboratories for neo-surrealist exploration, where unconscious processes can manifest in spatial, temporal, and affective dimensions.

AI-driven text generation provides additional pathways for coding the unconscious. Platforms such as AI Dungeon and Sudowrite allow users to co-create narratives with AI models capable of producing non-linear, imagistic, and associative text. These outputs often resemble automatic writing, narrative collage, or surrealist prose, foregrounding chance, ambiguity, and emergent meaning.

A defining feature of AI-generated texts is machine hallucination, where models produce outputs that are semantically improbable, factually incorrect, or nonsensical. Neo-surrealist DH reframes these hallucinations as creative and aesthetic resources, embracing the unpredictability of emergent computational output as a method of imaginative exploration. By engaging with algorithmic noise and ambiguity, digital humanists can reveal unconscious patterns embedded in machine logic and collective digital memory.

The integration of unconscious aesthetics and computational systems raises significant ethical and epistemological concerns. Authorship and agency become ambiguous when human intention and algorithmic output are intertwined. Similarly, algorithmic outputs inherit biases embedded in training data, reflecting cultural, social, and epistemic prejudices that may require critical mediation.

Machine hallucination, while productive aesthetically, also challenges conventional understandings of truth, knowledge, and reliability. Neo-surrealist DH therefore necessitates critical frameworks capable of interpreting emergent, ambiguous, and non-linear outputs without reducing them to mere errors. Scholars must engage with these systems reflexively, attending to the ethical, cultural, and cognitive implications of generating, curating, and interpreting algorithmically mediated unconscious expression.

By embracing surrealist principles, DH can evolve into a field of imaginative inquiry rather than solely analytical practice. Coding the unconscious transforms digital environments into spaces for poetic rupture, cognitive estrangement, and affective engagement. Generative art, immersive VR, and AI-driven narrative systems demonstrate the capacity for DH to serve as a platform for dream, desire, and disruption, facilitating new forms of knowledge production that integrate rational analysis with affective, irrational, and associative dimensions.

Neo-surrealist DH also challenges the epistemic and aesthetic assumptions of the discipline. By foregrounding non-linearity, ambiguity, and emergent meaning, it promotes methodologies that are experiential, participatory, and interactive, while remaining attentive to ethical and cultural contexts. In this sense, the integration of surrealist principles represents both a theoretical and methodological intervention, redefining what it means to "do" Digital Humanities in a computational age.

The vision of a neo-surrealist Digital Humanities demonstrates that computation is not solely a tool of analysis but also a medium for imaginative, affective, and unconscious exploration. By coding the unconscious, scholars and artists can produce works that are fragmentary, non-linear, and aesthetically dissonant, reflecting the associative logic and dreamlike qualities central to historical Surrealism.

Generative algorithms, VR, and AI-driven narrative systems operationalize these principles, producing outputs that are emergent, participatory, and co-authored. These media extend the potential of DH beyond rational analysis, enabling exploration of affect, desire, and emergent cognition. In doing so, neo-surrealist DH reclaims Surrealism as a living methodology—reborn in the circuits, scripts, and simulations of the digital age—and establishes the field as a site for experimentation, disruption, and imaginative inquiry in a world increasingly shaped by algorithms, as he rightly states-

> "Surrealism is the chance encounter of a sewing machine and an umbrella on a dissecting table." — André Breton

References:

[1] André, Emmanuelle, The Digital Unconscious: Film, Arts and Visual Studies. Arts & Culture,2024, https://artscultvis.hypotheses.org/the-digital-unconscious. Accessed 25 Oct. 2025.
[2] Bhattacharya, Shilpi. "Digitalizing Surrealism: A Pathway to New Humanities." Library Progress International, vol. 44, no. 3, July–Dec. 2024, pp. 25784–25786.
[3] Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia. Translated by Brian Massumi, University of Minnesota Press, 1987.4.
[4] Fan, Li-Ting. "On the Value of Narratives in a Reflexive Digital Humanities." Digital Studies / Le Champ Numérique, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018, article 5, https://doi.org/10.16995/dscn.285.
[5] Fanon, Frantz. Black Skin, White Masks. Translated by Richard Philcox, Grove Press, 2008.
[6] Goldsmith, Kenneth, and Virginia Heffernan. "Surrealism Is the DNA of the Internet." Next Big Idea Club Magazine, https://nextbigideaclub.com/magazine/conversation-surrealism-is-the-dna-of-the-internet-kenneth-goldsmith-and-virginia-heffernan-on-the-digital-experience/11164/. Accessed 25 Oct. 2025.
[7] Knoll, Aaron. "On Coding in the Digital Humanities: Technology, Education and Digital Scholarship." Aaron Knoll on Technology, Education and Digital Scholarship, 1 June 2013, https://aaronknoll.commons.gc.cuny.edu/on-technology/on-coding-in-the-digital-humanities/. Accessed 25 Oct. 2025.
[8] Mukhopadhyay, Tirtha Prasad. "The Ideological Limits of Digital Poetics." Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities, vol. 13, no. 4, 2021, pp. 1–7. Hayles, N. Katherine. How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics. University of Chicago Press, 1999.
[9] "Coding for Humanists." Digital Humanities Collaborative, Georgia College & State University, 2019, https://www.gcsu.edu/dhc/coding-for-humanists. Accessed on 25/10/2025